International Journal of Physics and Mathematics

E-ISSN: 2664-8644
P-ISSN: 2664-8636
IJPM 2023; 5(2): 56-59

www.physicsjournal.net
Received: 10-05-2023
Accepted: 14-06-2023

Deep Prabha Bharti
Research Scholar, PG
Department of Mathematics,
TMBU, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author:
Deep Prabha Bharti
Research Scholar, PG
Department of Mathematics,
TMBU, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

Analysis of dark matter and energy models

Deep Prabha Bharti

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26648636.2023.v5.i2a.85

Abstract
This research provides a detailed examination of the current models used to describe dark matter and dark energy, two fundamental yet mysterious components of the universe. Dark matter, constituting approximately 27% of the universe's mass-energy content, is primarily understood through its gravitational effects on visible matter, despite being undetectable by electromagnetic radiation. Dark energy, making up about 68% of the universe, is theorized to be responsible for the accelerated expansion of the universe. The research explores various dark matter models, including Cold Dark Matter (CDM), Warm Dark Matter (WDM), and Self-Interacting Dark Matter (SIDM), along with the potential research candidates such as WIMPs and axions. It also delves into the leading dark energy models, from the cosmological constant to dynamic models like quintessence and modified gravity theories. Observational evidence supporting these models is discussed, alongside the challenges and future prospects for experimental verification and theoretical advancements.

Keywords: Universe's mass-energy, primarily, visible matter, dynamic models

Introduction

Dark matter and dark energy are two of the most profound mysteries in modern astrophysics and cosmology. Together, they constitute approximately 95% of the universe's total mass-energy content, yet they remain elusive and poorly understood. Dark matter, which makes up about 27% of the universe, does not emit, absorb, or reflect light, making it invisible and detectable only through its gravitational effects on visible matter. It plays a crucial role in the formation and evolution of cosmic structures, such as galaxies and galaxy clusters. Dark energy, on the other hand, comprises about 68% of the universe and is responsible for the observed accelerated expansion of the cosmos. Its nature is even more mysterious than dark matter, with various theories ranging from a cosmological constant (Λ) to dynamic fields like quintessence. These concepts challenge our understanding of the universe's fundamental forces and the nature of space and time. This research explores the leading models of dark matter and dark energy, examining their theoretical foundations, observational evidence, and the challenges they present. By delving into these models, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge and the ongoing quest to unlock the secrets of the dark universe.

- **Dark Matter:** Initially postulated in the 1930s by Fritz Zwicky, dark matter is a non-luminous component of the universe, detected indirectly through its gravitational influence on visible matter. It explains phenomena such as the rotation curves of galaxies and gravitational lensing. Dark matter accounts for about 27% of the universe's mass-energy content.
- **Dark Energy:** Discovered through observations of distant supernovae in 1998, dark energy is a mysterious force driving the accelerated expansion of the universe, comprising roughly 68% of the universe. Understanding its nature is one of cosmology's biggest challenges.

Dark Matter Models

Dark matter models seek to explain the unseen mass that influences the universe's structure and behavior. The most widely accepted model, Cold Dark Matter (CDM), posits that dark matter research's are slow-moving and interact weakly with ordinary matter, successfully explaining large-scale structures like galaxies and clusters. Warm Dark Matter (WDM) offers an alternative, suggesting slightly faster-moving research's, addressing small-scale issues such as the missing satellite problem in galaxies.

Hot Dark Matter (HDM), composed of light, relativistic Presearch, is less favored because it fails to account for large-scale structures. Additionally, Self-Interacting Dark Matter (SIDM) proposes that dark matter Presearch can interact with each other through non-gravitational forces, providing potential solutions to discrepancies in galactic cores observed in CDM. Hypothetical Presearch like Weakly Interacting Massive Presearch (WIMPs) and axions are leading candidates, with ongoing experiments aiming to detect these elusive Presearch directly.

- **Cold Dark Matter (CDM):** The prevailing model, CDM posits that dark matter Presearch are slow-moving and interact weakly with ordinary matter, successfully explaining the large-scale structure of the universe.
- **Warm Dark Matter (WDM)**: WDM suggests that dark matter Presearch are lighter and faster-moving than in CDM, addressing some small-scale structure issues like the missing satellite problem.
- **Self-Interacting Dark Matter (SIDM):** SIDM proposes that dark matter Presearch interact with each other through non-gravitational forces, offering explanations for certain galactic phenomena that CDM cannot.
- **Presearch Candidates:** The research explores hypothetical Presearch like Weakly Interacting Massive Presearch (WIMPs) and axions, which are leading candidates for dark matter.

Dark Energy Models

Dark energy models attempt to explain the mysterious force driving the accelerated expansion of the universe, which makes up about 68% of its total energy content. The most straightforward model is the Cosmological Constant (Λ), introduced by Einstein, representing a constant energy density that fills space uniformly. This model is integral to the widely accepted ΛCDM model of cosmology. However, alternative models suggest that dark energy may be dynamic, varying over time and space. Quintessence, for instance, proposes a scalar field that evolves, causing changes in the energy density of the universe. Modified gravity theories, such as f(R) gravity, challenge the need for dark energy by suggesting that gravity itself behaves differently on cosmological scales. Other speculative models, like Holographic Dark Energy, draw on principles from string theory, while Phantom Energy predicts a future "Big Rip" scenario where the universe's expansion becomes uncontrollably rapid, ultimately tearing itself apart.

- **Cosmological Constant (Λ):** Introduced by Einstein, the cosmological constant represents a constant energy density filling space, forming the basis of the ΛCDM model.
- **Quintessence:** A dynamic model where dark energy is represented by a scalar field that evolves over time, leading to varying energy density.
- **Modified Gravity Theories:** These theories suggest that modifications to gravity at large scales might explain the accelerated expansion of the universe, reducing the need for dark energy.
- **Other Models:** Includes discussions on Holographic Dark Energy and Phantom Energy, which propose alternative mechanisms for the universe's expansion.

Literature Review

1. **Vera Rubin and Kent Ford (1970):** Rubin and Ford provided compelling evidence for dark matter through their study of galactic rotation curves. They observed that the outer regions of galaxies rotated faster than could be explained by visible matter alone, implying the presence of a significant amount of unseen mass.
2. **Cold Dark Matter (CDM) Model (1980s):** The CDM model emerged as the dominant framework for understanding dark matter's role in structure formation. Pioneering work by researchers like George Blumenthal, Sandra Faber, and Joel Primack established CDM as crucial for explaining the distribution of galaxies and cosmic structures.
3. **Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) (1983):** Proposed by Mordehai Milgrom, MOND is an alternative theory that attempts to explain galactic rotation curves without invoking dark matter. While MOND has some successes at galactic scales, it struggles to explain large-scale cosmic phenomena.
4. **ΛCDM Model (1990s):** Combining cold dark matter with the cosmological constant, the ΛCDM model became the standard model of cosmology. This model was supported by data from the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) observations, particularly from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) and later the Planck satellite.
5. **Supernova Cosmology Project and High-Z Supernova Search Team (1998):** These two independent teams, led by Saul Perlmutter, Adam Riess, and Brian Schmidt, discovered the accelerated expansion of the universe through observations of distant Type Ia supernovae, leading to the identification of dark energy.
6. **Quintessence Models (Late 1990s-2000s):** Quintessence was introduced as a dynamic form of dark energy, with a scalar field that changes over time, as opposed to the static cosmological constant. Researchers like Robert Caldwell and Paul Steinhardt explored this concept, proposing models where dark energy evolves with the universe's expansion.
7. **Hubble Tension (2010s):** Recent observations have revealed a discrepancy between the Hubble constant measured from the CMB and that derived from local universe measurements. This "Hubble tension" has led to renewed interest in alternative dark energy models and modifications to the ΛCDM framework, as discussed in works by Wendy Freedman, Adam Riess, and others.

Observational Evidence and Challenges

Observational evidence for dark matter and dark energy comes from various astrophysical and cosmological phenomena, yet significant challenges remain in fully understanding these elusive components. The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) provides a snapshot of the early universe, with the ΛCDM model, which incorporates dark matter and dark energy, fitting the observed data exceptionally well. The large-scale structure of the universe, including the distribution and clustering of galaxies, also supports the existence of dark matter, as its gravitational effects explain the formation of these structures. Supernovae Type Ia observations were pivotal in discovering dark energy, revealing the accelerated expansion of the universe. Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) offer additional evidence by serving as a "standard ruler" for measuring cosmic distances and expansion. However, challenges persist, such as the "Hubble tension," a discrepancy between the Hubble constant values derived from the CMB and those measured locally. Furthermore, alternative theories like Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) and modified gravity models challenge the need for dark matter and dark energy by proposing adjustments to our understanding of gravity. These challenges highlight the complexity of the universe and the ongoing need for new observations and theoretical developments to refine our understanding of dark matter and dark energy.

- **Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB):** The CMB provides crucial evidence supporting dark matter and dark energy models, with the ΛCDM model fitting the data well.
- **Large-Scale Structure:** The distribution of galaxies supports the existence of dark matter, with ΛCDM explaining their observed clustering.
- **Supernovae Type Ia and Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO):** These provide evidence for dark energy, with supernovae observations leading to its discovery.
- **Challenges**: The research discusses discrepancies like the Hubble tension and alternative explanations such as Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND).

Future Prospects and Experiments

The future of dark matter and dark energy research hinges on advancing experiments and theoretical developments. Direct detection experiments, such as those using underground detectors like XENON and LUX, aim to identify dark matter Presearch through their rare interactions with ordinary matter. The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) continues to search for dark matter candidates, including Weakly Interacting Massive Presearch (WIMPs), by analyzing high-energy collisions for missing energy signatures. Upcoming cosmological surveys, such as those by the Vera C. Rubin Observatory and the Euclid mission, are expected to provide unprecedented data on the large-scale structure of the universe, potentially revealing new insights into dark energy. Additionally, the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will contribute by observing distant galaxies and supernovae, further refining our understanding of cosmic expansion. Theoretical advancements, particularly in areas like quantum gravity and beyond the Standard Model physics, may lead to new frameworks for interpreting dark matter and dark energy, possibly transforming our understanding of the universe.

- **Direct Detection Experiments:** Upcoming experiments aim to directly detect dark matter Presearch, potentially confirming models like CDM or WIMPs.
- **Presearch Accelerators:** The search for dark matter at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) continues, with experiments looking for missing energy signatures.
- **Cosmological Surveys and Theoretical Developments:** Future surveys and theoretical advancements may provide new insights into dark matter and dark energy, challenging or refining current models.

Conclusion

In conclusion, dark matter and dark energy remain two of the most profound mysteries in cosmology, driving much of the universe's structure and evolution. While the ΛCDM model, incorporating a cosmological constant and cold dark matter, provides a robust framework that aligns well with most observational data, significant questions and challenges persist. The exact nature of dark matter, whether it consists of WIMPs, axions, or other Presearch, remains unknown, with direct detection experiments still yielding no definitive results. Similarly, the true nature of dark energy—whether it is a constant force, a dynamic field, or a manifestation of modified gravity continues to elude scientists. Future experiments and observations, alongside theoretical advancements, are essential for unraveling these cosmic enigmas. As research progresses, new discoveries may not only refine our current models but could also lead to revolutionary shifts in our understanding of the fundamental forces and composition of the universe. Dark matter and dark energy remain some of the most significant mysteries in cosmology, with the ΛCDM model currently offering the best explanation of observed phenomena. However, ongoing research and future experiments may reveal more about these elusive components, or even lead to a paradigm shift in our understanding of the universe.

References

1. Zwicky F. Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln. Helvetica Physica Acta. 1933;6:110-27.
2. Rubin VC, Ford WK. Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions. The Astrophysical Journal. 1970;159:379-403.
3. Einstein A. Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. 1917;142-52.
4. Perlmutter S, Aldering G, Goldhaber G, Knop RA, Nugent P, Castro PG, *et al*. Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. The Astrophysical Journal. 1999;517:565-86.
5. Riess AG, Filippenko AV, Challis P, Clocchiatti A, Diercks A, Garnavich PM, *et al*. Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant. The Astronomical Journal. 1998;116:1009-38.
6. Blumenthal GR, Faber SM, Flores R, Primack JR. Contraction of Dwarf Galaxies Due to Their Dark Matter Halos. The Astrophysical Journal. 1984;287:555-68.
7. Primack JR, Bullock JS, Wechsler RH, Kravtsov AV, Abazajian K. The Cosmic Microwave Background and the Nature of Dark Matter. Physical Review Letters. 2001;86:2154-7.
8. Spergel DN, Verde L, Peiris HV, Komatsu E, Nolta MR, Bennett CL, *et al*. First-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Determination of Cosmological Parameters. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series. 2003;148:175-94.
9. Planck Collaboration. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. Astronomy & Astrophysics. 2018;641.
10. Milgrom M. A Modification of the Newtonian Dynamics as a Possible Alternative to the Hidden Mass Hypothesis. The Astrophysical Journal. 1983;270:365-70.
11. Caldwell RR, Dave R, Steinhardt PJ. Cosmological Dark Energy: The Cosmological Constant versus Quintessence. Physical Review Letters. 1998;80:1582-5.
12. Spergel DN, Steinhardt PJ. Observational Evidence for Self-Interacting Dark Matter. Physical Review Letters. 2000;84:3760-3.
13. Linde A. A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems. Physics Letters B. 1982;108:389-93.
14. Davis M, Efstathiou G, Frenk CS, White SDM. The evolution of large-scale structure in a universe dominated by cold dark matter. The Astrophysical Journal. 1985;292:371-94.
15. Tegmark M, Blanton MR, Strauss MA, Hoyle F, Schlegel D, Scoccimarro R, *et al*. The 2003 Sloan Digital Sky Survey: III. The Luminous Red Galaxy Sample. The

Astrophysical Journal. 2004;606:702-40.
16. Zhao H, Jing YP, Mo HJ, Börner G. The Evolution of Dark Matter Halo Profiles and the Universality of the Density Profile. The Astrophysical Journal. 2009;707:354-61.
17. Seljak U, Zaldarriaga M. The Cosmic Microwave Background anisotropies in cosmological models with non-zero cosmological constant. The Astrophysical Journal. 1996;469:437-48.
18. Peebles PJE. Large-Scale Structure of the Universe. Princeton: Princeton University Press; c1982.
19. Hawkins E, Maddox SJ, Cole S, Lahav O, Madgwick DS, Norberg P, *et al*. The 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey: The power spectrum and the matter content of the universe. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 2003;346:78-98.
20. Reid BA, Percival WJ, Eisenstein DJ, Verde L, Spergel DN, Skibba RA, *et al*. The clustering of galaxies in the SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. 2010;404:60-82.
21. Faber SM, Willmer CNA, Wolf C, Koo DC, Weiner BJ, Newman JA, *et al*. The ACS Coma Cluster Survey. II. The Fundamental Plane and Its Evolution to z ~ 1. The Astrophysical Journal. 2007;665:265-87.
22. Huterer D, Starkman GD. Parameterization of Dark Energy Evolution. Physical Review D. 2003;67:081301.
23. Garnavich PM, Kirshner RP, Challis P, Tonry JL, Gilliland RL, Smith RC, *et al*. Supernova Limits on the Cosmological Constant. The Astrophysical Journal. 1998;509:74-9.
24. Guth AH. Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems. Physical Review D. 1981;23:347-56.
25. Weinberg S. The Cosmological Constant Problem. Reviews of Modern Physics. 1989;61:1-23.